

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMME United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | F          | ILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|------------|--------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 09/848,987  | 05/03/2001 |              | Mary A. Holstege     | 021756-016000US     | 8754            |
| 51206   | 7590       | 10/05/2006   |                      | EXAMINER            |                 |
|   |            | TOWNSEND ANI | NAWAZ, ASAD M        |                     |                 |
| TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER 8TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834 |            |              |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER    |
|   |            |              |                      | .2155               |                 |

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)      |  |  |
|-----------------|-------------------|--|--|
| 09/848,987      | HOLSTEGE, MARY A. |  |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit          |  |  |
| Asad M. Nawaz   | 2155              |  |  |

|   | Asad M. Nawaz                             | 2155                    |                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|-------------------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the c         | orrespondence add       | ress             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>25 September 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  |   |                         |                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:  |   |                         |                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.   |   |                         |                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.   |   |                         |                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  |   |                         |                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have seen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) bove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any   |   |                         |                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL  | ,   |                         |                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compositing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any experience.</li> </ol>   | xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)         | ), to avoid dismissal d | of the appeal.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS   |   |                         |                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because   |   |                         |                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co   | nsideration and/or search (see NO         | TE below):              | 000000           |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo   |   | ,,                      |                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in befappeal; and/or</li></ul>   | tter form for appeal by materially re     | educing or simplifying  | the issues for   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (d) $\square$ They present additional claims without canceling a  | corresponding number of finally re        | jected claims.          |                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1   | 16 and 41.33(a)).                         |                         |                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. $ igsqcup$ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1  | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co         | ompliant Amendment      | (PTOL-324).      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s   | ·   |                         |                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a<br/>the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>   | llowable if submitted in a separate       | , timely filed amendm   | ent canceling    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) $\boxtimes$ will not be entered, or b) $\square$ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.   |   |                         |                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none.  |   |                         |                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to: none.   |   |                         |                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-35</u> .  |   |                         |                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.  |   |                         |                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |   |                         |                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  |   |                         |                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar  | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fa  | ils to provide a |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control | •   | , ,,                    | •                |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but   | it does NOT place the application i       | n condition for allowa  | nce because:     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ——:   |   |                         |                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).  Other:  |   |                         |                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| . — Оша   | March Najjar                              | $\supset$               |                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The scope of the claims as proposed would change the scope of the limitations as previously claimed, requiring a further search and/or consideration.